## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA THIRD DIVISION

In re: Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation	MDL No. 1708 (DWF/AKB)
This Document Relates to All Actions	JOINT AGENDA FOR MAY 17, 2006 STATUS CONFERENCE

The parties have agreed upon the following agenda for the Case Status Conference to be held on May 17, 2006:

- 1. Number and status of cases transferred into the MDL
- 2. Discovery status
- 3. Representative trial process update
- 4. [Proposed] Defendant Fact Sheet
- 5. Stays pending transfer to MDL
- 6. Master Complaint response Proposed Scheduling Order (Attachment A)
- 7. [Proposed] Short form complaint, *i.e.*, Complaint by Adoption (Attachments B (PDF form) & C (Word form)) and Proposed Order for same (Attachment D)
- 8. Plaintiff Fact Sheet motions to dismiss status / scheduling (Attachment E Proposed Order)
- 9. Plaintiff Fact Sheet objections (Defendants' issue) / revisions (Plaintiffs' issue)
- 10. Deposition Protocol potential amendment
- 11. Device testing protocol Proposed Order (Attachments F & F(A))
- 12. Preemption Summary Judgment Motion response date
- 13. Scheduling of Next Discovery Conference Call and Status Conference

Dated: May 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Richard Arsenault
Richard Arsenault
NEBLETT, BEARD & ARSENAULT
2220 Bonaventure Court
Alexandria, LA 71301
rarsenault@nbalawfirm.com

s/ Elizabeth Cabraser
Elizabeth Cabraser
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 30<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
ecabraser@lchb.com

s/ Seth R. Lesser Seth R. Lesser LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC 110 East 55<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10022 slesser@lockslawny.com

s/ Charles S. Zimmerman
Charles S. Zimmerman
ZIMMERMAN REED, P.L.L.P.
651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501
Minneapolis, MN 55402
csz@zimmreed.com

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Timothy A. Pratt
Timothy A. Pratt
SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, MO 64108-2613
tpratt@shb.com

Defendants' Lead Counsel

s/ Joseph M. Price
Joseph M. Price
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
jprice@faegre.com

Defendants' Liaison Counsel

ATTACHMENT A

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Guidant Defibrillators Product Liability Litigation	MDL Case No. 1708 (DWF/AJB)
Relates to ALL ACTIONS	JOINT PROPOSED PRETRIAL ORDER NO
ESTABLISHING RESPONSE DATE	E TO PLAINTIFFS' MASTER COMPLAINT
The parties agree, and the	Court hereby orders, that Defendants' Response
to Plaintiffs' Master Complaint shall be	filed on or before Monday, June 26, 2006.
So Ordered.	
Dated: May, 2006	DONOVAN W. FRANK
	Judge of United States District Court

ATTACHMENT B

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

IN RE GUIDANT DEFIBRILLATORS	Court File No. 05-md-1708	
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION	
	[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]	
This pleading relates to:	Clear Form	
Plaintiff,		
-against-		
GUIDANT CORPORATION, et al.,		
Defendants,		
COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION		
1. Plaintiff,	, states [his / her] claims against	
Defendants indicated below as follows and incor	porates by reference the relevant portions of	
Plaintiffs' Master Complaint for Personal Injury.	Economic Loss, Third Party Payor and	
Medicare Secondary Payor Act Claims, Includin	g Class Actions (the "Master Complaint") on	
file with the Clerk of the Court for the United Sta	ates District Court for the District of Minnesota	
in the manner entitled In RE GUIDANT DEFIB	RILLATORS PRODUCT LIABILITY	
LITIGATION, No 05-md-1708.		
2. Plaintiff,	, is a citizen and resident of	
, and claims	s damages as set forth below.	

#### **ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES**

3.	The Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of h	aving the following implantable
defibrillator de	evice manufactured:	
Defendants list	ted by their actions or inactions proximately caus	ed plaintiff's injuries.
4.	As a result of the injuries that Plaintiff has sustai	ned, Plaintiff asserts entitlement
to recover dam	ages and/or restitution.	
5.	That on or about	Plaintiff had the above-
referenced defi	ibrillator implanted	·

#### ALLEGATIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS

As a result of the defibrillator, Plaintiff suffered injury and damages as set forth in

7. The entities named as Defendants in the Master Complaint and the allegations with regard them thereto in the Master Complaint are herein adopted by reference.

6.

the Master Complaint.

#### SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

8. Provided that Plaintiff herein does not does not, unless this case is itself filed as a putative class action, agree to be a member of any class, classes, or subclasses that have been or may hereafter be proposed in this litigation, whether set forth in the Master Complaint or otherwise, and reserves the right to make any class action participation decision as may be permitted by virtue of a right to opt-out as may be applicable by law, the following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Complaint and the allegations with regard thereto in the Master Complaint are herein adopted by reference:

INTRODUCTION;
PARTIES;
JURISDICTION AND VENUE;
FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS;
CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS (see reservation above);
CLAIMS FOR RELIEF – DEVICE RECIPIENT PLAINTIFFS:
COUNT I (Strict Liability – Failure to Warn);
COUNT II (Strict Liability – Design And/Or Manufacturing Defect);
COUNT III (Negligence);
COUNT IV (Negligence Per Se);
COUNT V (Breach of Implied Warranty);
COUNT VI (Fraud);
COUNT VII (Constructive Fraud);
COUNT VIII (Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Under State Law);
COUNT IX (Under The Senior Citizen And Handicapped Person Consumer Fraud Act Minnesota Statute § 325F.71 And/Or Similar Statutes In Effect In Other Jurisdictions)
COUNT X (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress);
COUNT XI (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress);
COUNT ELEVEN (Violation of Consumer Protection Statutes);
COUNT XII (Gross Negligence/ Malice);
COUNT XIII (Loss of Consortium);
COUNT XIV (Wrongful Death)

COUNT XV (Survival Action);
COUNT XVI (Medical Monitoring);
COUNT XVII (Medical Monitoring);
CLAIMS FOR RELIEF – THIRD PARTY PAYOR PLAINTIFFS:
COUNT XVIII (Violation of The Minnesota Deceptive Trade Practice Act);
COUNT XIX (Violation of the Minnesota Prevention Of Consumer Fraud Act);
COUNT XX (Violation of Minnesota False Statements In Advertising Statute);
COUNT XXI (Unfair And Deceptive Practices Under State Law);
COUNT XXII (Subrogation Liability Determination);
COUNT XXIII (Unjust Enrichment);
COUNT XXIV (Breach of Implied Warranty);
COUNT XXV (Breach of Assumed Contractual Warranty Obligations);
COUNT XXVI (Misrepresentation by Omission);
CLAIMS FOR RELIEF – MEDICARE SECONDARY PAYOR PLAINTIFFS:
COUNT XXVII (Breach of Assumed Contractual Warranty Obligations);
COUNT XXVIII (Liability As First Party Insurer Under MSP: Agreement to Pay Medical Costs);
COUNT XXIX (Liability As First Party Insurer Under MSP: Provision of Express and Implied Warranties);
COUNT XXX (Liability As Third Party Insurer Under MSP: Liability As Holder of A Liability Insurance Policy or Plan);

to reimburse health care providers for all health care services provided to all Medicare beneficiaries
resulting from the recalled Devices, which expenditures Defendants were required or responsible to
make under the Medicare Secondary Payer Statute;
For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
For prejudgment interest and the costs of suit;
For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper; and
For preference in setting the matter for trial pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 36; Fla
Stat. § 415.1115; 735 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/2-1007.1; La. Code Civ. Proc. art. 1573; and N.Y. CPLR
3403.  JURY DEMAND
Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.
Date:
Respectfully submitted,



### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION  COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION (Device Recipient Plaintiff)  This pleading relates to:  [JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]  [Name of Plaintiff],  Plaintiff[s],  -against-
[Name of Plaintiff],  [[Name of Plaintiff],  Plaintiff[s],
[[Name of Plaintiff], Plaintiff[s],
Plaintiff[s],
-against-
GUIDANT CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendants,
DEVICE RECIPIENT PLAINTIFF COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION
1. Plaintiff,[Name of Plaintiff], states [his / her] claims against
Defendants indicated below as follows and incorporates by reference the relevant portions of
Plaintiffs' Master Complaint for Personal Injury, Economic Loss, Third Party Payor and
Medicare Secondary Payor Act Claims, Including Class Actions (the "Master Complaint") on
file with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
in the manner entitled In RE GUIDANT DEFIBRILLATORS PRODUCT LIABILITY
LITIGATION, No 05-md-1708.
2. Plaintiff, [Name of Plaintiff], is a citizen and resident of

2a. Plaintiff Spouse,[Name of Spouse], is a citizen and resident of	
County,[State], and claims damages as set forth below. Plaintiff and	
Plaintiff Spouse have been married since[Date of Marriage] (Optional)	
2b. Plaintiff Decedent[Name of Deceased Plaintiff] was a citizen and	
resident ofCounty,[State], and claims damages as set forth below.	
Plaintiff Decedent died on[Date of Death] (Optional)	
2c. Representative Plaintiff,[Name of Plaintiff], is a citizen and resident	
ofCounty,[State] Representative Plaintiff was appointed	
administrator/representative of the Estate of[Name of Deceased Plaintiff] on	
[Date of Appointment] by[Name of Probate Court] [Optional]	
2d. [Other plaintiff(s) – same as above]	
ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES	
3. The Plaintiff is a Device Recipient Plaintiff and has suffered injuries as a result of	
having the following Device manufactured:	
[Device]	
Defendants listed by their actions or inactions proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.	
4. As a result of the injuries that Plaintiff has sustained, Plaintiff asserts entitlement	
to recover damages and/or restitution.	
5. That on or about [Date], Plaintiff had the above-referenced	
Device implanted[Where / By Whom [if known]].	
6. As a result of the Device, Plaintiff suffered injury and damages as set forth in the	
Master Complaint.	
7. [Same as above for other plaintiff[s]]	

#### **ALLEGATIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS**

7. The entities named as Defendants in the Master Complaint and the allegations with regard them thereto in the Master Complaint are herein adopted by reference.

#### SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

8. Provided that Plaintiff[s] herein does[do] not agree to be a member of any class, classes, or subclasses that have been or may hereafter be proposed in this litigation, whether set forth in the Master Complaint or otherwise, but reserves[] the right to make any class action participation decision as may be permitted by virtue of a right to opt-out as may be applicable by law, the following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Complaint and the allegations with regard thereto in the Master Complaint are herein adopted by reference:

	INTRODUCTION;
	PARTIES;
	OTHER PARTIES; (Identify and plead in additional allegations)
	[Name Additional Defendants]
	JURISDICTION AND VENUE;
	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS;
CLAIN	MS FOR RELIEF – DEVICE RECIPIENT PLAINTIFFS:
-	COUNT I (Strict Liability - Failure to Warn);
	COUNT II (Strict Liability – Design And/Or Manufacturing Defect);
Account of the Assessment of t	COUNT III (Negligence);
	COUNT IV (Negligence Per Se);
	COUNT V (Breach of Implied Warranty):

COUNT VI (Fraud);	
COUNT VII (Constructive Fraud);	
COUNT VIII (Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Under State Law);	
Identify either State or Subparagraph number	
COUNT IX (Under The Senior Citizen And Handicapped Person Consumer	
Fraud Act, Minnesota Statute § 325F.71 And/Or Similar Statutes In Effect In Other	
Jurisdictions); [List Specific Statute, it appropriate]	
COUNT X (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress);	
COUNT XI (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress);	
COUNT XII (Gross Negligence/ Malice);	
COUNT XIII (Loss of Consortium);	
COUNT XIV (Wrongful Death);	
COUNT XV (Survival Action);	
COUNT XVI (Medical Monitoring);	
COUNT XVII (Unjust Enrichment)	

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff[s] seeks judgment in [his / her / their] favor against the Defendants as follows, and following the Prayer for Relief and other allegations contained in the Master Complaint:

- 1. For the equitable relief requested;
- 2. For all compensatory and/or statutory damages or restitution, according to proof;
- 3. For leave to seek punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants, at the appropriate time under governing law as determined by the Court, consistent with the degree of

Defendants' reprehensibility and the resulting harm or potential harm to Plaintiff[s], and in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter others from similar wrongdoing;

- 4. For declaratory judgment that Defendants are liable to Plaintiff[s] for all evaluative, monitoring, diagnostic, preventative, and corrective medical, surgical, and incidental expenses, costs and losses caused by Defendants' wrongdoing;
- 5. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
  - 6. For a disgorgement of profits and restitution of all costs related to the Devices;
  - 7. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
  - 8. For prejudgment interest and the costs of suit;
  - 9. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper; and

#### TRIAL SETTING PRIORITY

[If applicable; include relevant information for each, if more than one, plaintiff]		
Plaintiff [was born on[date] and Plaintiff is over the age of	_[age]	
and] is otherwise entitled to trial setting preference or priority under		
Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 36		
Fla. Stat. § 415.1115		
735 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/2-1007.1		
La. Code Civ. Proc. art. 1573		
N.Y. CPLR 3403		
[Other: specify:]		

#### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff[s] hereby demands[] a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Date:	Respectfully submitted,
	[NAME AND ADDRESS OF COUNSEL]



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re: Guidant Implantable Defibrillators

Products Liability Litigation

MDL No. 05-md-1708

This Document Relates to All Actions

ORDER RE: COMPLAINT BY ADOPTION FORM

The Parties submitted an agreed upon Complaint by Adoption form in the above-referenced matter.

The Court hereby Orders that any individual may use either of the attached Complaint by Adoption forms to adopt relevant portions of the Mater Consolidated Complaint for Individuals on file with the Clerk of Court in the above-referenced matter.

Date:

\_s/ Arthur J. Boylan\_\_\_\_\_ ARTHUR J. BOYLAN United States Magistrate Judge



### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Guidant Defibrillators Products
Liability Litigation

MDL Case No. 1708 (DWF/AJB)

Relates to ALL ACTIONS

JOINT PROPOSED PRETRIAL ORDER NO. \_\_

## ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COURT'S JANUARY 31, 2006 ORDER

The parties agree, and the Court hereby orders, that the following briefing schedule and hearing date concerning Defendants' Motions to Dismiss for Failure to Comply with this Court's January 31, 2006 Order shall apply:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall file their Responses to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss on or before June 1, 2006.
- 2. Defendants shall file their Replies in Support of Motions to Dismiss on or before June 8, 2006.
- 3. The Court shall hear arguments on this matter during the hearing currently scheduled for June 20, 2006.

So Ordered.

Dated: May \_\_\_, 2006

s/Donovan W. FrankDONOVAN W. FRANKJudge of United States District Court



### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Guidant Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation	MDL Case No. 1708 (DWF/AJB)
Relates to ALL ACTIONS	PROPOSED PRETRIAL ORDER NO

### ESTABLISHING PROTOCOL FOR DISCOVERY AND TESTING REGARDING DEVICES IN PLAINTIFFS' POSSESSION

- 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, any Plaintiff in possession of a device at issue must produce to Defendants, within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, the following discovery:
  - A. The model number and serial number of each device at issue.
- B. All documents, photographs, and other records that relate to any device testing, including visual inspections, data downloads, interrogations, or any other type of testing that Plaintiffs might have conducted on the devices at issue.
- C. All documents that relate to any autopsy reports of Plaintiffs' decedents.
- D. All documents that relate to the cause of death of Plaintiffs' decedents.
- 2. In addition, any Plaintiff in possession of a device at issue must produce that device to Defendants, according to the schedule set out in Paragraph 3, for testing and analysis in the presence of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's designated representative. Such analysis and testing shall include the following:

- A. Performing "Save to Disk" and "Hex Dump" downloads.
- B. Visually inspecting the devices at issue.
- C. Performing electrical and/or destructive analysis and testing as permitted by the Court or agreed to by the parties.

#### 3. Schedule for device production:

A. Plaintiffs who are in possession of their devices and alleging death must produce their devices, within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, to FAEGRE & BENSON, 2200 Wells Fargo Center, 90 South Seventh Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901, (612) 766-7000. A list of Plaintiffs who are alleging death is attached as **Attachment A**.

# B. Plaintiffs who are in possession of their devices and alleging physical injury must produce their devices, within sixty (60) days of the date of this

Order, to FAEGRE & BENSON, 2200 Wells Fargo Center, 90 South Seventh Street,

Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901, (612) 766-7000.

- C. Plaintiffs who are in possession of their devices and not alleging physical injury must produce their devices, within ninety (90) days of the date of this order, to FAEGRE & BENSON, 2200 Wells Fargo Center, 90 South Seventh Street, Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901, (612) 766-7000.
- 4. Any Plaintiff who is no longer in possession of a device at issue must provide, within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, any and all information related to the current whereabouts of that device unless it is in Defendants' possession.

So Ordered.

Dated: May \_\_\_, 2006

s/Donovan W. FrankDONOVAN W. FRANKJudge of United States District Court



#### MDL Plaintiffs Alleging Death

ANDERSON, Irene (06-cv-568)

BLANTON, Betty (06-cv-853)

BOHANNON, Willie Lee Jr (06-cv-00146; MDL 06-1196)

BRENNAN, John (05-CV-827; MDL 05-2597)

BREWER, Glendene (05-cv-2884)

BROWN, Marcita (06-ev-00650)

BROWN, Robert Jr. (06-cv-01093; MDL 06-1574)

BURGESS, Shirley (MDL 06-852)

BURKE, Jean (05-cv-444; MDL 06-93)

CANTU, Thomas (06-ev-1207)

CARENDER, Joni (05-cv-510; MDL 06-681)

CARROLL, Paul (06-cv-390)

COHEN, Matthew L. (06-cv-1119)

COMMANDER, Catherine (06-cv-246)

EDGE, Juanita (05-cv-873)

ENICH, Dorothy C (06-cv-849)

FORBES, Juanita (Forbes, Robert) (05-cv-2113; MDL 06-20)

FORBES, Juanita (Legeros, Chris) (05-cv-2113; MDL 06-20)

FOX, Irma (05-ev-1570; MDL 05-1570)

GARRISON, Linda M. (06-cv-0073; MDL 06-680)

HAACK, Marilyn (06-cv-01053)

HALL, Sharon (05-cv-764; MDL 06-686)

HOCKING, Sandra (MDL 06-1199)

KING, Judith E. (06-ev-00391; MDL 06-1571)

LAKIN, James (0:06-9cv-0038)

LITTLE, Charles H. (MDL 06-99)

MARTIN, Betty (06-cv-392)

MILLER, Barbara (06-cv-01401)

MORNEAU, Estate of Robert N. (06-cv-230; MDL 06-1197)

OXENDINE, Beatrice (06-cv-303)

PAXSON, Diana (06-cv-1089; MDL 06-1578)

POUNCY, Patrick (MDL 06-52)

ROBINSON, Claris (05-cv-23131; MDL 06-624)

SCHACHER, Jeffery (05-cv-299; MDL 06-383)

SCHUCK, Kelly (MDL 06-677)

SHAPIRO, Hilda (06-cv-848)

SHEA, Theresa (05-cv-04250; MDL 06-40)

SHORTER, Gloria (06-cv-00252)

SMITH, Alice J. (06-CV-01729)

SMITH, Robert Earl (MDL 06-30)

TISDON, Doris (05-cv-1512; MDL 06-51)

TORRICELLI, Donna (06-cv-00254)

VIERA, Maria M. (MDL 06-1569)

WARREN, M.B. (Collins, Jimmy) (06-cv-200)

WARREN, M.B. (Russell, Lettye) (06-cv-200)

WESTCOTT III, Redge (MDL 06-46)

WOOD, Lanette (05-cv-2951, MDL 06-381)